

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/964,739	09/28/2001	Woong Kwon Kim	043694-5015-03	2171	
9629	7590 12/21/2004		EXAMINER		
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW			HON, SOW FUN		
	ON, DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1772		

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action		Application No.	Applicant(s)	- th				
		09/964,739	KIM, WOONG KWON	•				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Sow-Fun Hon	1772					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
fina	E REPLY FILED 29 November 2004 FAILS TO PLAC refore, further action by the applicant is required to av l rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) dition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal mination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	old abandonment of this applica	ation. A proper reply to a					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]								
fee h	The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The cave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of nder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office of filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFF fextension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply consider than three months of the them.	g date of the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MI  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate out of the fee. The appropriate	PEP extension extension				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
1	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
<ul><li>(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would b canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	e allowable if submitted in a sep						
	5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons in the attachment to the advisory action.							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.								
7.	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims wou	) a) will not be entered or b) ld be rejected is provided below	will be entered and an or appended.					
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
	Claim(s) allowed:							
	Claim(s) objected to:							
	Claim(s) rejected:			į				
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) approve	ved or b) disapproved by the	Examiner.					
9.	9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
	10. Other: Attachment to advisory action							
				ł				

Application/Control Number: 09/964,739

Art Unit: 1772

## **Advisory Action**

1. The request for reconsideration has been fully considered but is deemed not to place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant's arguments are addressed below.

2. Applicant argues that the statement in Kimock, that "DLC coatings are typically under significant compressive stress" merely suggest that Kimock teaches that the DLC layer is under compressive stress i.e that it often receives compressive stress from outside forces, and does not teach that the DLC layer imparts a compressive stress to the outer surface of the glass substrate.

Applicant is respectfully apprised that by being under significant compressive stress from outside forces, the DLC layer transfers or imparts the compressive stress to the underlying surface layers as long the layers are in intimate contact with each other. The interlayer of silicate (SiO<sub>2</sub>) layer is highly adherent to both the DLC layer and the underlying glass substrate (column 12, lines 35-40), which means that that the layers are indeed in intimate contact to allow for the compressive stress transfer.

3. Applicant argues that Kitayama, the primary reference, merely teaches that a glass substrate is chemically changed to create compressive stress in its outer layer.

Applicant is respectfully reminded that by teaching that the outer layer of the glass substrate is chemically changed in order to create compressive stress in the outer layer, Kitayama teaches that a new separate layer is inherently present, which has compressive stress (column 6, lines 60-65), and which reduces the internal tensile stress inherently present in the glass (column 6, lines 60-65) to a value of 4 kg/mm<sup>2</sup> or less (column 15, lines 1-10). Kitayama thus provides the teaching of the protective mechanism, which enables one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have used routine experimentation to provide an alternate

Application/Control Number: 09/964,739

Art Unit: 1772

protective layer which has compressive stress which is transferred or imparted to the underlying outer surface of the glass substrate, in order to reduce the tensile stress present in the glass substrate.

4. Applicant argues that Mizuta only shows a thermosetting silicone resin that allegedly has low viscosity such as tetra-alkoxysilane.

Applicant is respectfully reminded that Mizuta teaches that the tetra-alkoxysilane of Kitayama ('057, column 10, lines 60-65) is a thermosetting silicone resin ('157, column 5, lines 15-20).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sow-Fun Hon whose telephone number is (571)272-1492. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday from 10:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached at (571)272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 09/964,739

Art Unit: 1772

Page 4

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sow-Fun Hon

12/14/04

S. Hon.

HAROLD PYON

12/15/04